

# AWM Research Symposium 2022

## Complexity of Conjugacy Search in some Platform Groups

Simran Tinani

Based on joint work with Carlo Matteotti and Joachim Rosenthal<sup>1</sup>



University of  
Zurich<sup>UZH</sup>



Schweizerische Eidgenossenschaft  
Confédération suisse  
Confederazione Svizzera  
Confederaziun svizra

June 16, 2022

---

<sup>1</sup>This research is supported by armasuisse Science and Technology.

## Introduction

- ▶ The discrete logarithm problem (DLP) and integer factorization are the most widely used algorithmic problems for public key cryptography. However, they are solved in polynomial time with a quantum algorithm.
- ▶ Shor's quantum algorithms rely on the solution of the *Hidden Subgroup Problem* for finite abelian groups.
- ▶ Apart from lattice-based, multivariate, code-based, and isogeny-based cryptography, it has been proposed recently to use nonabelian group theoretic computational problems.

# Background: Nonabelian Group-based Cryptography

## Definition (Discrete Logarithm Problem (DLP))

*Given  $g, h \in G$  with  $h \in \langle g \rangle$ , find  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $h = g^n$ .*

## Definition (Conjugacy Search Problem (CSP))

*Given  $g, h \in G$ , find an element  $x$  of  $G$  such that  $h = x^{-1}gx$ , given that it exists. We adopt the notation  $g^x := x^{-1}gx$ .*

- ▶ Anshel, Anshel, and Goldfeld, 1999 and Ko et al., 2000, built the first protocols based on the CSP in braid groups.
- ▶ Several attacks (Hofheinz and Steinwandt, 2002), (Myasnikov, Shpilrain, and Ushakov, 2006) show that braid groups are not suitable platforms. Proposed alternatives: polycyclic groups,  $p$ -groups, Thompson groups, matrix groups.

## Motivation

- ▶ For linear platform groups (i.e. those that embed faithfully into a matrix group over a field), several polynomial time attacks exist (Kreuzer, Myasnikov, and Ushakov, 2014), (Myasnikov and Roman'kov, 2015), (Tsaban, 2015), (Ben-Zvi, Kalka, and Tsaban, 2018).
- ▶ Often impractical to implement for standard parameter values.
- ▶ Computation of an efficient linear representation may pose a serious roadblock for an adversary.
- ▶ Protocol-specific and focus on retrieving the private shared key without solving the CSP
- ▶ So far, the true difficulty of the CSP in different platforms has not been sufficiently investigated.

## Motivation

### Definition ( $A$ -restricted CSP)

Given a subgroup  $A \leq G$  and elements  $g$  and  $h$  of a group  $G$ , find an element  $x \in A$  such that  $h = x^{-1}gx$ , given that it exists.

We are specifically interested in the case where  $A$  is cyclic.

- ▶ In Ko-Lee, commutativity of conjugators is needed. Interesting abelian subgroups of several proposed platforms are cyclic.
- ▶ In AAG, the amount of information the adversary has is "proportional" to the number of generators of  $A$ .
- ▶ Case  $A$  cyclic is most basic, reductions to it may be possible

# Polycyclic groups

- ▶ Suggested as platforms for CSP in (Eick and Kahrobaei, 2004).
- ▶ There is evidence of the ineffectiveness of length-based attacks and other heuristic methods for braid groups.

## Definition (Polycyclic Group)

A polycyclic group is a group  $G$  with a subnormal series  $G = G_1 > G_2 > \dots > G_{n+1} = 1$  with cyclic quotient  $G_i/G_{i+1}$ .

$$G = \langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \mid a_i^{m_i} = w_{ii}, i \in I, \\ a_j^{a_i} = w_{ij}, 1 \leq i < j \leq n, \\ a_j^{a_i^{-1}} = w_{-ij}, 1 \leq i < j \leq n, i \notin I \rangle,$$

for some  $I \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , where  $w_{ij} = a_{|i|+1}^{l(i,j,|i|+1)} \dots a_n^{l(i,j,n)}$ , with  $l(i, j, k) \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $0 \leq l(i, j, k) < m_k$  if  $k \in I$ .

## Polycyclic Groups with two generators

In the case  $n = 2$ , we the group presentation

$$\langle x_1, x_2 \mid x_1^C = x_2^E, x_2^{x_1} = x_2^L, x_2^{x_1^{-1}} = x_2^D \rangle$$

Here, collection, multiplication, conjugation can be performed with a single application of a formula.

### Lemma 1

The conjugated word  $(x_1^c x_2^d)^{-1} (x_1^a x_2^b) (x_1^c x_2^d) = x_1^g x_2^h$  with  $g = a$ ,

$$h = \begin{cases} -dL^a + bL^c + d; & \text{if } c, a \geq 0 \\ -dL^a + bD^{-c} + d; & \text{if } c < 0, a \geq 0 \\ -dD^{-a} + bL^c + d; & \text{if } c \geq 0, a < 0 \\ -dD^{-a} + bD^{-c} + d; & \text{if } c, a < 0 \end{cases}$$

## CSP in 2-Polycyclic Groups

### Theorem 1

*If  $N_2 = \text{ord}(x_2)$  is finite, the CSP has a polynomial time solution.*

### Theorem 2

*If  $N_2 = \text{ord}(x_2)$  is finite, the  $\langle x_1 \rangle$ -restricted CSP in  $G_2$  reduces to a DLP. Further, the elements can be chosen so that it is exactly equivalent to a DLP in  $(\mathbb{Z}/N_2\mathbb{Z})^*$ .*

If  $N_2 = \infty$ , the CSP reduces to the Diophantine integer equation  $f = -dL^a + bL^c + d$ . The  $\langle x_1 \rangle$ -restricted CSP  $f = bL^c$  here is easily solved by taking the real number base- $L$  logarithm of  $f/b \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

## CSP in a finite $(n + 1)$ -PC group; $n$ generators commute

$$G = \langle s, t_1, \dots, t_n \mid t_i^{\theta_i} = 1, t_i t_j = t_j t_i, t_i^s = t_1^{a_i^{(1)}} \dots t_n^{a_i^{(n)}}, 1 \leq i, j \leq n \rangle$$

Representing elements of  $T$  as column vectors  $(r_1 \dots, r_n)$ , we can describe the conjugation action of  $s$  on  $T$  by the map

$$\mathbb{Z}_{o_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{o_2} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}_{o_n} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{o_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{o_2} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}_{o_n}$$

$$(r_1, \dots, r_n) \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} a_1^{(1)} & \dots & a_1^{(n)} \\ a_2^{(1)} & \dots & a_2^{(n)} \\ \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ a_n^{(1)} & \dots & a_n^{(n)} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \\ \vdots \\ r_n \end{bmatrix}$$

The  $\langle s \rangle$ -restricted CSP constitutes recovering  $N$  from the  $N^{\text{th}}$  power of the above matrix.

## Matrix Groups

- ▶ The DLP in  $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  was studied in (Menezes and Wu, 1997) and (Freeman, 2004) and shown to be no more difficult than the DLP over a small extension of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .
- ▶ Most known nonabelian platform groups are linear. If a faithful representation and its inverse can efficiently be computed, the security of the system depends on that of the matrix CSP rather than that in the original platform.
- ▶ Let  $X \in Mat_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ ,  $Z \in GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  and  $Y = Z^{-r}XZ^r$  be public matrices. The  $\langle Z \rangle$ -restricted CSP comprises finding  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

## $\langle Z \rangle$ -restricted CSP in $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$

There is an extension  $\mathbb{F}_{q^k}$  of  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and a unique matrix  $P \in GL_n(\mathbb{F}_{q^k})$ , both computable in polynomial time (Menezes and Wu, 1997), such that  $J_Z = PZP^{-1}$ , where  $J_Z$  is the Jordan Normal form of  $Z$ .

Define  $M := PXP^{-1}$ ,  $N := PYP^{-1}$ ,  $\theta_Z := \text{ord}_{GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)}(Z)$ .

Clearly,  $Z^{-r}XZ^r = Y \iff J_Z^{-r}MJ_Z^r = N$ .

### Theorem 3

*If  $J_Z$  is diagonal then the retrieval of  $r \pmod{\theta_Z}$  reduces to solving at most  $n^2$  DLPs over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^k}$ .*

# $\langle Z \rangle$ -restricted CSP in $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$

## Proposition 1

*The value of  $r' := r \pmod{p}$  can be computed in polynomial time.*

## Proposition 2

*Computing  $r \pmod{\text{lcm}_{1 \leq i \leq s} \text{ord}(\lambda_i)}$  reduces in polynomial time to solving at most  $s^2$  DLPs in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^k}$ .*

## Theorem 4

*Let  $J_Z$  be non-diagonal, and composed of  $s$  Jordan blocks. Then, the computation of  $r$  is polynomial time reducible to a set of  $s^2$  DLPs over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^k}$ .*

## $p$ -groups

- ▶ A  $p$ -group is a finite group with order a power of a prime  $p$ .
- ▶ Several  $p$ -groups are constructed by combining smaller  $p$ -groups by taking direct, semidirect and central products
- ▶ A  $p$ -group  $G$  is called extraspecial if its center  $Z(G)$  is cyclic of order  $p$ , and the quotient  $G/Z(G)$  is a non-trivial elementary abelian  $p$ -group.
- ▶ Every extraspecial  $p$ -group has order  $p^{1+2n}$  and is a central product of  $n$  extraspecial groups of order  $p^3$ .

## Definition

A group  $G$  is said to be a **central product** of its subgroups  $H$  and  $K$  if every element  $g \in G$  can be written as  $hk$ , with  $h \in H, k \in K$  (i.e.  $G = HK$ ), and we have  $hk = kh \forall h \in H, k \in K$ .

## Definition

A finite group  $G$  is **efficiently  $C$ -decomposable** if for any elements  $h, k, x, y \in G$  with  $hC_x \cap kC_y \neq \emptyset$ , an element of  $hC_x \cap kC_y$  can be found in polynomial time. Here  $C_x := \{g^{-1}xg \mid g \in G\}$ .

## Theorem 5

Let  $G$  be efficiently  $C$ -decomposable and  $H, K \leq G$  be such that  $G = HK$  is a central product. Then, solving the CSP in  $G$  is polynomial time reducible to solving 2 separate CSPs in  $H$  and  $K$ .

## Extraspecial $p$ -groups of order $p^3$

In extraspecial  $p$ -groups of order  $p^3$ , it is always possible to reduce the CSP to a set of linear modular equations.

$$M(p) = \langle x, y \mid x^{p^2} = 1, y^p = 1, yxy^{-1} = x^{1+p} \rangle$$

$$N(p) = \langle x, y, z \mid x^p = y^p = z^p = 1, xy = yx, yz = zy, zxz^{-1} = xy^{-1} \rangle.$$

### Theorem 6

For  $g = x^a y^b$  and  $g' = x^A y^B$  in  $M(p)$ , an element  $h = x^i y^j$  satisfies  $g' = h^{-1}gh$  if and only if  $(A - a)/p = (aj - ib) \pmod p$ .  
For  $g = x^a y^b z^c$  and  $g' = x^A y^B z^C$  in  $N(p)$  an element  $h = x^i y^j z^k$  satisfies  $g' = h^{-1}gh$  if and only if  $B - b = -ka + ic \pmod p$ .

### Theorem 7

Any extraspecial  $p$ -group  $G$  is efficiently  $C$ -decomposable. Thus, the CSP in  $G$  has a polynomial time solution.

## Applications

- ▶ Protocol in (Sin and Chen, 2019) based on a "decomposition problem" in (polycyclic) generalized quaternion groups  $Q_{2^n}$  is broken by collection and solving linear equations (mod  $N$ ).

$$Q_{2^n} = \langle x, y \mid x^N = 1, y^2 = x^{N/2}, yx = x^{-1}y, N = 2^{n-1} \rangle.$$

- ▶ Protocol in (Valluri and Narayan, 2016) is based on the a  $\langle Z \rangle$ -restricted CSP over quaternions mod  $p$ ,  $H_p$ .

$$H_p = \{a_1 + a_2i + a_3j + a_4k \mid a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_p\}.$$

There is an explicit isomorphism with efficiently computable inverse  $H_p \cong \text{Mat}_2(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$  (Tsopanidis, 2020).

- ▶ "Subgroup CSP" in (Gu and Zheng, 2014) corresponds exactly to the  $A$ -restricted CSP for  $A$  cyclic. Suggested platforms are  $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , a subgroup of it, and a braid group.

Thank you!

## References I

-  Anshel, Iris, Michael Anshel, and Dorian Goldfeld (1999). “An algebraic method for public-key cryptography”. In: *Math. Res. Lett.* 6.3-4, pp. 287–291.
-  Ben-Zvi, Adi, Arkadiusz Kalka, and Boaz Tsaban (2018). “Cryptanalysis via algebraic spans”. In: *Annual International Cryptology Conference*. Springer, pp. 255–274.
-  Eick, Bettina and Delaram Kahrobaei (2004). “Polycyclic groups: a new platform for cryptology?” In: *arXiv preprint math/0411077*.
-  Freeman, David (2004). “The Discrete Logarithm Problem in Matrix Groups”. In:
-  Gu, Lize and Shihui Zheng (2014). “Conjugacy Systems Based on Nonabelian Factorization Problems and Their Applications in Cryptography”. In: *J. Appl. Math.* 2014, 630607:1–630607:10.

## References II

-  Hofheinz, Dennis and Rainer Steinwandt (2002). “A Practical Attack on Some Braid Group Based Cryptographic Primitives”. In: *Public Key Cryptography — PKC 2003*. Ed. by Yvo G. Desmedt. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, pp. 187–198. ISBN: 978-3-540-36288-3.
-  Ko, Ki Hyoung et al. (2000). “New public-key cryptosystem using braid groups”. In: *Annual International Cryptology Conference*. Springer, pp. 166–183.
-  Kreuzer, Martin, Alexey D Myasnikov, and Alexander Ushakov (2014). “A linear algebra attack to group-ring-based key exchange protocols”. In: *International Conference on Applied Cryptography and Network Security*. Springer, pp. 37–43.
-  Menezes, Alfred and Yihong Wu (1997). “The Discrete Logarithm Problem in  $GL(n, q)$ ”. In: *Ars Comb.* 47.

## References III

-  Myasnikov, Alexei and Vitali Roman'kov (2015). "A linear decomposition attack". In: *Groups Complexity Cryptology 7.1*, pp. 81–94.
-  Myasnikov, Alexei, Vladimir Shpilrain, and Alexander Ushakov (2006). "Random Subgroups of Braid Groups: An Approach to Cryptanalysis of a Braid Group Based Cryptographic Protocol". In: *Public Key Cryptography - PKC 2006*. Ed. by Moti Yung et al. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, pp. 302–314. ISBN: 978-3-540-33852-9.
-  Sin, Chang Seng and Huey Voon Chen (2019). "Group-Based Key Exchange Protocol Based on Complete Decomposition Search Problem". In: *Information Security Practice and Experience*. Springer International Publishing.

## References IV

-  Tsaban, Boaz (2015). “Polynomial-time solutions of computational problems in noncommutative-algebraic cryptography”. In: *Journal of Cryptology* 28.3, pp. 601–622.
-  Tsopanidis, Nikolaos (2020). “The Hurwitz and Lipschitz Integers and Some Applications”. PhD thesis. Universidade do Porto.
-  Valluri, Maheswara Rao and Shailendra Vikash Narayan (2016). “Quaternion public key cryptosystems”. In: *2016 World Congress on Industrial Control Systems Security (WCICSS)*, pp. 1–4.